

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	] ]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/813,415	09/813,415 03/21/2001		Mark Dilman	1-6	2405	
46363	7590	06/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		HERIDAN, LLP/	BILGRAMI,	BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H		
LUCENT T.		OGIES, INC AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBI			2143			
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/813,415	DILMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Asghar Bilgrami	2143		

	Asghar Bilgrami	2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>7June2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	·		Codusc					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delowy,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· · ·	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-14</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N and sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	of be entered s necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	/					
-								
		DAVID WILEY	ARAINIER					
	ALINE	いいだいはんしんしゃい アメ	eswill@t_Ll					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100





Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims as ammended by the applicant have altered the scope of the claims and they raise new issues which require further seachand consideration for prper examination .